

Manifesto Axis Mundi

by Stefan Sattler



By now it should have become obvious that we have not fully understood the one key attribute of *Life* and *Life Itself*: Freedom! And namely the fact that freedom is not the opposite of law and order, but freedom is in fact a law. Freedom is the law of which all other rules have been derived of. All the laws we have created over millennia were merely invented to make freedom possible and to let the process of life flow smoothly because one could argue that people are not fully conscious all the time, so these laws are helpful to enable freedom as best as possible.

But the mistake we have made as a civilization is that we have forgotten this fact and thus we've failed to curtail our laws in such a way that it would eventually lead to fewer rules being needed, and not more. For the intention was, and still is, to aim for a culture where everybody is naturally fully conscious so that the concept of freedom is fully realized so that almost no more external laws are needed. So, what I am advocating now is to learn to re-write our laws in such a way that the use of these laws and the conduct of the people under

these laws will eventually lead to the need for less and less laws. And one very key element towards this is that we revert our judicial doctrine from the principle of dogma law to the law of taboo. The concept of taboos is very much in harmony with the *Law of Life* whereas dogmas simply are not. Dogmas are lethal poison to a culture, a nation, a people and a civilization!

Positivistic (Dogma-) Law means everything is forbidden except for it is explicitly allowed by law. That's the wrong way around. It's about the geometry of law. Positivistic Law is inverted Negativistic (Taboo-) Law. One says, all is forbidden except it is explicitly allowed, while the other one says, everything is allowed, except it is explicitly forbidden! One works with dogmas and the other one with taboos. One is toxic law, and one is healthy law. One is Law of Death, and one is Law of Life.

It is said that *the living man stands before the constitution*, which translates to the fact that not the law is sacred, but the integer intent to honor and abide by the law is sacred. That means that the most important aspect of what makes a given system and its laws work is located completely outside of the realm of law. Only the integrity and the will to understand each other and to honor agreements is what makes any set of rules into a beneficial and smoothly working process.

Now, the surprisingly primitive situation we are dealing with is not that it would be too difficult to find the right laws and to do the right thing for mankind to achieve a sustainable level of peace and freedom for all. Instead, the problem is that there are forces, people and entities who are simply not willing to grant others the same basic sovereign rights to a free life as they themselves are enjoying. The quality of the laws is only of secondary importance because if it is clear that *the living man stands before the constitution*, then it is obvious that the form and the content of laws can be adjusted to the carefully evaluated needs of the people. That's what sovereignty means.

So, I declare the following truth. At the basis of every culture and society in the history of mankind there has to be a social contract. A contract between and among the living people and not a contract with any god. That social contract is broken in our current world, and we need to fix it. *God* doesn't need a contract with you. That's a silly idea of people who try to cheat *Life Itself* and their fellow mankind. And ultimately themselves, too. Which enables us to answer the question whether the cosmos is working top-down or bottom-up. In a way all the craziness in our current world is very easy to understand. What you are all complaining about is in fact always the same thing. You are complaining about the fundamental structure of all our systems of organizing communal, regional, national, state and all other common affairs, *the commons*. It is always built according to the well-known top-down structure.

And that is the logical consequence of our most basic identification and assumption about this cosmos, namely *The God!* The assumption is this omnipotent and omnipresent *The God*; which is the greatest personification of the principle of top-down, is it not? All this notion, which is the most fundamental doctrine in our Western construct of law, that he is *The Creator* and that he owns everything, every life and every soul, is the clearest expression of a top-down structure. But truth is, this whole creation has come into existence precisely because that *force of creation* is not the least bit interested in exclusive ownership but in cooperation and in sharing that overflowing wealth.

So, the problem in today's world is that everything is top-down structured and that is because we believe that this force of creation has structured all creation according to the

top-down principle, when in fact it is structured bottom-up. To be really precise it's in fact structured inside-out. Meaning we should learn to perceive this force of creation as bottom-up and subsequently organize our societies, communities, states and peoples according to the bottom-up principle. In order to do this, we've got to grasp the deeper architecture of our *civilizational Operating System: Rights and Laws*.

Rights are what we assume, and legal bankruptcy is the inability of a legal system to provide justice to those subject to the law. An institution, especially a legal institution, reveals legal bankruptcy if, for example, it allows liars to reach the top, makes smugglers into secretaries, fraudsters into cashiers, forgers into minute takers, impostors into assessors and blackmailers into legal supervisors. Under such circumstances, only a return to generally recognized values such as truth and freedom and legal principles such as pacta sunt servanda, prohibition of arbitrariness, competition, etc., can promise improvement. #GerhardKörblerDictionaryOfLaw

That means that rights and laws in actual reality do not exist. They are assumptions, presuppositions, fiction. Lawful definition of fiction: *Fiction is that dictate of justice that describes a non-existing fact as an existing one!* On that basis our current law in the world is practiced. The sum total of those *dictates of justice* is forming what is called the *Canon of Law*. Now we have confirmed the fact that our rights and laws are not coming from *The God* but from assumptions that have been developed and implemented by man over the course of our history. Yes, including the sometimes open but very often hidden and obscured intermingling of other ancestors and entities.

What is indeed coming from *God* are claims; in the sense of life-sustaining necessities. But here it is very important to be conscious about the situation when using the word *god*. To use this word carefully in the social realm is pretty ok, for that word is simply hinting at that what is indeed and actually real but outside of human knowing. And it is forever impossible to entangle that *Absolute* onto a 100% defining word, symbol, seal, object or sound. That's why we have this word in the first place. To hint at something which is not a creation of man but absolute in and of itself. That means that it is appropriate to use that word carefully in human society. In the absolute sense, in the presence of that what was called God before, that word becomes not only utterly useless but outright false and misleading in its meaning. The moment you've started to make contact you need a different word or even better an entirely different language because your relationship and your position towards and within the absolute has profoundly changed, so that its old meaning can be of no further use anymore in bringing that relationship to full flowering.

Having said that, we can now inquire the difference between claims and rights using the word *god* in this social context without any further confusion. We are misunderstanding claims and rights; we are confounding one for the other. We are constantly confusing cause and effect for each other. From the tiniest and from the most mundane to the greatest and most sacred things in life. That which is God-given, immanent and born-in into every human being are claims, and not rights. The rights are the consequences that we as cultures have established in the awareness of these claims, without the recognition and enabling of which no culture and civilization would be possible. And just for the record: Your claims are going to be denied if you are denying the claims of others without any serious valid reason!

And a very similar confusion has happened with the confounding of sympathy and empathy. Hence, we've got to understand the fundamental difference between sympathy and empathy. In social life both are valuable whereas in the spiritual realm man goes by empathy while sympathy is not only worthless but in fact a fierce and dangerous obstacle. The

difference between sympathy and empathy, as most people assume, is not one of mere quantity but of a substantially different quality and nature. The antipathy-empathy-sympathy-apathy-complex, and with-it individuality and individuation as part and intent of creation, is completely misunderstood by the current mankind. And by the way, empathy is more than enough to not commit any evil. The truth is that sympathy is not only not helpful in avoiding evil, but it is in fact the case that mis-understood sympathy plays a huge part for itself in almost all situations of so-called sin.

Hence, I urge you all to recognize that these *forever wars* are the logical consequence of unfulfilled *forever prophecies*! You have all heard of the notion that nowadays the wars fought by the *Empire of Chaos* shall not even be won anymore. The goal is not to win them but to have these civilization-collapsing forever wars which are just draining the entire world and are pulling mankind down into the black hole of nihilism. Well, the same mechanism is at play when it comes to all those messianic, biblical, eschatological, religious prophecies. The trick is that they were never meant to be fulfilled but instead they shall send all faithful believers onto a never-ending hail-expecting trip that shall and will never be fulfilled because it was a fraud from the very get-go. It will keep you locked inside a hallucination and will make sure that you stay totally confused on the most profound levels of cognition, perception and the use of your own conscious will and intent. It keeps you busy and occupied with a vision which is fiction.

The truth is, my dear mankind, you are still ruled by the *Doctrine of Discovery*! Officially we are saying that the so-called Doctrine of Discovery is null and void today, but fact is that this doctrine and its template of *The winner takes it all* is still the most basically applied template of conducting business, science, politics, religion and everything else in human society. When I look at our human endeavors all I can see is patents, copyrights, claims of ownership and always attempts, including lies, theft and blackmailing, to establish the claim of the fact that one has been *the first* in achieving and realizing whatever. A new medication, a new territory, a weapon or a new scientific discovery and then claim, copyright and own it. And make sure that everybody else must pay tribute, tax, customs, patent fees, usufruct and such. In a sense, that's the equivalent to the ancient *Bending the knee*.

Isn't it quite paradox and confusing, that when we look at what is actually happening in everyday life we see that everything is ruled according to the *Doctrine of Discovery*, but at the same time when I look very deeply into the essence and root of all the current laws of mankind, the papers, documents and the judicial doctrines, it is all derived in the very end from the assumption that god is the exclusive owner of everything? Meaning real, actual owning isn't something for man and mankind at all. That's what it says, without explicitly saying it. Funny, we are intellectualizing one way, but we are acting 180° the exact opposite in real life.

Well, to me that smells of one thing and of one thing only: The Lie – *The Cardinal of all Sins!* Think of it this way. All the so-called sins need one sin as kind of a prerequisite. This is like the Cardinal Sin of all, for without that one the other sins wouldn't be committed. That's the lie and deception. Without the option of lying, either to oneself or others, the other sins wouldn't work out. So, let's get rid of the cultural acceptance of lying of the current mankind and we'll see unbelievable changes for the better sweeping around the earth in no time. For the record, the term lie includes actions like deception, omission, half-truth, false framing, undisclosed clauses and things of that sort. You simply should not lie, never. Not about others and not to others and not even to yourself. That's in fact where all the evil always

starts. Nobody would steal and rape, kill and plunder and deceive and secretly start wars if he would adhere to the rule of not lying.

Now, the funny thing is this. If I talked to you, all 8 billion, on a one-to-one basis, you would all say that it is *the others* and that you are part of the good ones because you are a believer in God. Can you see the sad and paradox irony here? It's always the others. But imagine I talked to all 8 billion and everybody is saying, it's the others. Then let me ask you. Who are the others, if not you yourself? As I've said before, the mistake is that you start with an assumption, make this assumption into a belief and then a dogma and are now observing everything through that falsifying dogma. It is this vicious cycle that is the root cause of all systemic evil here on earth. *I believe in God. God is good and thus, because I believe in God and am thus a part of him, I am per definition the good one which leaves only one option left for the other one: He must be the bad and evil one!* Dang! Trapped in a death spiral. We could call that spiritual vanity or the *Religious Dunning-Kruger-Effect*.

You all know this saying: *The system did not fail but it is doing exactly what it was designed for!* Well, the same is true here. The religions and their doctrines and messengers haven't been corrupted and taken over. No, they are doing exactly what they were invented for. Let me explain to you the intent behind inventing a dogmatic, codified, omnipresent and omnipotent God. By implanting the fictitious idea of *The God* into your deepest inner core, the energetic blueprint of yourself you are per definition denied ownership of the only thing you should ever own: Your own Self!

See, man is running around like crazy for millennia, trying to claim and to own everything. From the Earth to gold, slaves and women to genomes, water, air, patents, ideas, even frequencies and the souls of the living and the dead, and much more. But we never find satisfaction, because all these things will never fulfill our true and natural longing. Namely, to be in possession of and to own ourselves! But unfortunately, all the really good things in life are only possible if you own yourself. To love, to be conscious and invest yourself in a greater cause, to marvel, to be creative and to realize *Life Itself*. Spiritual growth, responsibility, free will, devotion and much more are only true, authentic and valuable once you have begun to accept the only real challenge in life: Facing yourself and taking responsibility for and ownership of yourself.

Now, the funny, or rather tragic, thing is this. When you take this absolutely natural step and stand up and publicly declare ownership of yourself, including all the consequences, centuries of dogma and brainwashing have ensured that all the other slaves – your fellow mankind - will scream blue murder, curse you and declare you being evil. And like this it is very easy to control the masses. You just have to have control and ownership of the copyright and franchise rights to this god and to the narrative that comes with it, et voilà, you are within the deepest emotional, mental and existential layers of the human being, and you can mess with them and manipulate them in every crazy and despicable way imaginable.

I really wonder: How can you not come to the only logical conclusion, namely, to recognize that the only reason for all the lies of modern civilization being possible is to see that there must be one big fat lie. A distortion of the most basic truth that has infected all of mankind. Look as closely as you wish and I guarantee you, the only thing you will find, that fits the description, is that the god story is false! Told in the most dangerously, 180° falsified way. This "*First Cause*" is not and cannot be exclusively outside of oneself, and it is not and cannot be a being, although it is for sure alive and conscious. But not an entity, not exclusively outside of oneself – and as well not exclusively within oneself. But all your religions tell you

otherwise. For sure, because without that twist they could not build a dogmatic slave system upon that assumption.

Well, the very crux of all of this is the following. Let's assume there is a certain conspiracy, a fraud, a cabal, very old and in whatever morphing and ever-changing structure. An ancient striving for power and control by extremely dishonest and deceptive means. And as a very simplified generalization of the aim of this we could say that those instigators are trying to take away what is yours. Your property, rights, heritage, your soul, genetics and everything else.

What they need for such an endeavor is your acquiescence of the fact that you shall be completely disowned but they shall not. I don't want to go into the genesis of law here, but as is well-known, every contract, law and legal procedure requires acquiescence to be acknowledged as righteous and honorable. The problem that many people have when faced with the question of whether there might indeed be such an all-encompassing conspiracy taking place, although they might not be conscious about the judiciary doctrine, that fraud requires acquiescence, is that in their guts, they all know this.

Roman Law Doctrine: *Let those who are deceived be deceived!*

And that's exactly what is causing this so-called cognitive dissonance. Your emotional, unconscious rejection and refusal to integrate your responsibility in the whole game, because it would be considered to be too hard to deal with it, is what is causing most people to deny and refuse, often vehemently, to consider the possibility of such a conspiracy and such a great fraud might be real and actually happening. And surprisingly, not only the people who refuse to deal with the possibility of a conspiracy but also many of the people who are already convinced that a lot of conspiracy-stuff is happening still do not understand where they themselves are still paying their respect, belief and acquiescence to the lie and are thus themselves still enabling the so-called conspiracy.

Allow me to help you out. The trick is that this point where you are giving your acquiescence to being disowned is not there as one deal or decision, but it is split into two separate events. The first decision is that you agree to be dis-owned completely, and the second part is that they are making sure that their claim stays valid and thus they can suck it all up. By splitting the events into two they are managing to slip under the radar what is happening because of emotional confusion. Understand that you are indeed all giving your acquiescence to being dis-owned of everything, and now you might start to understand what role the religions always have to play. That's why they were invented in the first place, to confirm the most basic and foundational doctrine of all current religions that this *The Creator God* owns everything, and he owns you too, completely.

But I say, if you don't own yourself, obviously it is impossible for you to own anything!

You can go and study these ecclesiastical, theological matters as long as you want and what you will find is nothing else but that all Western Law is based on this most profound but false doctrine of law. That's where they are getting their proclaimed but false authority from. Without that they have none! And it's precisely because you think of this matter being such a private and almost innocent matter that you would never come to connect these sacred and religious affairs with the legal and political status of immense hardcore real-life consequences. That's precisely the trick. You are not expecting this kind of sinister toxic assault on your very life here. The trick is that the emotional-energetical reaction has already

happened via the religious disowning-conversion of you. Although it wasn't done in good faith and not in full open and honest disclosure.

So, here comes part two of the game plan. Because God is not here, per official catholic (translates to *universal*) religious doctrine, he's on a prolonged vacation, and so they, the Holy Mother Catholic Church got the job. You know, they got it via Peter who got the triple key to all the heavens (Jurisdictions that is!). And the Christ is obviously not here either – allegedly you all are waiting for his return - but through *Peter* the job has fallen to the church. So, god's not here, Church knows shit better than everybody else and thus Church is the boss and takes over all god-ownership-rights but Church gives a damned shit about anything only remotely close to what deserves to be called sacred, but still pretends all this god-ownership-rights to be observed for not going to hell or burning at the stake or getting suicided by the CIA or sodomized by Hillary for that matter, and is collecting as much as it can, and the moment you are speaking up against that *Perpetuum Mobile of Evil* you will be deemed evil and the *Antichrist*!

In a way, I have to admit, from storyteller to storyteller: Clever! Indeed, a clever plot. The moment you are seeing it through and start telling the people you have unwittingly started to fulfill their own self-insuring false prophecy of being the prophesied evil, The Antichrist. Clever, but never-the-less a shitty story. In fact, the most disgusting and pathetic story I have ever heard. The core message here is that I say that this action has been split into two because you all are by far not dumb enough that if someone came and asked you to give away all your property and all your claims you all would for sure reject but when you've already declared to freely give everything away to God, then the step to make sure that it will end up in the thieves' pockets is not that difficult anymore. Especially because your so-called Bullshit-sensor is closed off already via that sinister religious soul-treaty. Remember, you've already signed away everything to this god.

So, I say: No! The strongest word and the most profound yes. Remember, it's even asked in your bible, something along the lines: *And you just say one word, this one word and you shall be absolutely free and unbound!* Well, this word is not any name of whatsoever messenger, entity, demon, God or whatnot. It's not any spell or mantra nor any *Abra Kadabra*. No! *No*, this is the word. It's that simple and straight forward. Because *no* is the strongest *yes* one can give. The strongest and clearest verbal, linguistic expression of intent. That's the seemingly paradox secret here. Without the possibility of *no*, no meaningful *yes* could ever exist. And vice versa, for sure. Without the option of a *yes* one couldn't know what *no* is.

So, now I'm saying no, I am not subject to Rome and/or to any branch of the Abrahamic Cult nor to the Anglo-Papal-Zionist One World Order Cult!

Instead, I propose...

The True Geometry-in-Motion of Earth and her Axis

1.) Earth' basic motion tidally locked and CCW (counterclockwise) around the Sun, like the motion of the Moon around Earth (one side always facing Earth, so-called phase-locked or tidally locked to the Earth)

2.) Add to it a 24-hour rotation CCW and

3.) A daily Axis Angle Direction Forward Ticking Clockwise (CW), a little less than 1° per day.

Think of Earth' motion in the following way. Imagine she is doing her rotation around the Sun like the Moon rotates around the Earth with always the same side facing the Earth. Add the motion that you think of as Earth' Wobble; like what you think is causing the Precession of the Equinox; clockwise, to the right. Add the daily rotation around herself counterclockwise. The first two motions are seemingly annihilating each other so that it seems that Earth' Axis is always fixed and pointing into the same direction in the greater/outer space.

Earth is orbiting the sun and imagine the axis is tilted at $23,4^\circ$ to the sun while the axis is always pointing to the sun, the center of that motion pattern. (In fact, it's $66,6^\circ$! $90^\circ - 23,4^\circ = 66,6^\circ$ - here you have your famous „Number of the Beast“! ... and yes, I know, today it's said to be slightly changed.) Obviously, it is not doing this, because if it did so, there would be summer all year on the north side of the earth. Now ask yourself: What force is pushing the axis (tilting-angle-direction) per quarter year an additional 90° to the right, meaning clockwise, so that it is as can be observed in reality?

As I explained there are two different kinds of motion responsible for it. These two movements are seemingly annihilating each other. That's why we obviously never really thought about it. We just never recognized that we don't have a sufficient explanation for our own Earth' (Axis') movement, because it seems to be fixed! That motion is what I've called the *Syrian Dance* (*Sirian Dance?*). It's the kind of motion we think of when we describe the so-called *Wobble* of the Earth which we think is what leads to the so-called *Precession of the Equinox*.

So then, what actually makes the Precession of the Equinox? Well, it's the same phenomenon and mechanics: The Sun and the whole Solar-System is phase-locked/tidally locked to its Greater Star which our Sun is revolving around! Whether that is Alcyone, which some say is Tara and not Alcyone at all, or any other star doesn't matter for right now for we first have to understand the basic mechanical principle and then we can apply this to the actual stars further out. We know Earth is doing a revolution around the sun and the rotation around its own axis, ok. But what we didn't understand is why the Earth Axis and her 'tilting-angle-direction' is seemingly fixed to a certain direction in space.

So, what actually is the so-called *Sidereal Day* then? There seems to be a misunderstanding. One full rotation of Earth around herself on her own axis is not 23 56 hours as currently claimed by official science! That would be the so-called misunderstood Sidereal Day, which is not a full rotation. Sidereal Day just means the same relative position of the stars at 23 56 o'clock as in the night at midnight the day before; but that doesn't mean a full rotation is 23 hours and 56 minutes long. Not a real full rotation around Earth' own axis.

It just means that after 23 56 hours the night sky is in the relatively same position as in the night at midnight before. And that's because these nearly 4 minutes early are equalizing the wandering and the changing of the relative position of Earth towards the stars and planets

throughout one day on her way around the sun. You see, Earth is wandering approx. $1/365$ of her full path around the sun every day including the 'curving'. By curving I mean the tiny little movement which is necessary to adjust (and rotate inward) so that always the same side would face the sun. Like the moon is doing, which I explained above, so that the way you see the stars at 23 56 o'clock is in the same relative position and direction as at midnight the day before. And at midnight, it has slightly changed. Like the clock ticking further one step every day.

Meaning the real, actual own rotational period of Earth is 24 hours! The mark at 23 56 o'clock would be more precisely called the *Sidereal Point*. And it surely has the same duration. 24 hours from one sidereal point to the next and not 23 hours plus 56 minutes, that is a false assumption. Full rotation is 24 hours and being 24 hours, the official explanation doesn't add up, like I explained, because sunrise would be every day 4 minutes later! Extrapolate that to one full wandering of sunrise around the 'clock' in one year and it becomes obvious: Sunrise is not 4 minutes later every day but going forth and back throughout summer and winter. If it really were as suggested, the sunrise would not be like earlier in summer and later in winter, in relation to the northern hemisphere, opposite for the southern one, but the sunrise would kind of 'travel around the day' throughout one year. Meaning you would have sunrise at 6 h, three months later at 12 h, three months later at 18 h and three months later at 24 h, and three months later at 6 h again. Obviously, that's not the case but instead the sunrise goes back and forth.